



with the consequences of repairing flood damage on their own.

In fact, it is estimated that only 10-20 percent of homeowners along the coast in North Carolina have coverage through the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). In addition, according to the Insurance Information Institute (I.I.I.), only about one-to-three percent of homes in inland counties of North Carolina have flood policies. For the entire state of North Carolina, only about three percent of homes have flood insurance. Only approximately eight percent of homes in South Carolina have flood insurance. As a result, countless homeowners will be uninsured for the flooding damages.

To make matters worse, flood damage tends to be costly. According to FEMA, the potential loss for just 1,000 square feet that is inundated with water can cost as high as \$11,000. An estimated five inches of water inside a home can result in damage upwards of \$20,000.

Given the high costs to repair flood damage and the many homeowners left without flood insurance, insureds and insurance companies may find themselves in a familiar dispute on the exact cause of the damage. In situations where it cannot be determined whether the damage was caused by wind or flood, insureds will likely argue that the damage to their properties was wind-related and, thus, covered under their homeowners' policies.

However, for insurance carriers that have included anti-concurrent causation provisions in their policies, insureds' contention for coverage because the losses resulted from wind will have little traction. The anti-concurrent causation provision excludes coverage if an excluded peril, such as flood, contributes either directly or indirectly to the cause of loss, even if a covered peril, such as wind, plays a part in the loss. Importantly, both North Carolina and South Carolina recognize the enforceability of the anti-concurrent causation language. (See South Carolina Farm Bureau Mut. Ins. Co. v. Durham and Builders Mut. Ins. Co. v. Glascar Properties *Inc.*) Thus, if an insurance policy includes the anti-concurrent causation provision,

High Waters Rising

HURRICANE FLORENCE'S FLOODING IS DETAILED IN THESE BEFORE-AND-AFTER IMAGES OF MOREHEAD CITY AND MYRTLE GROVE, N.C., PROVIDED BY EAGLEVIEW.



then the claim will likely be denied.

With many homeowners in Hurricane Florence's path without flood insurance and the evidence suggesting that the damage caused by the storm was mostly flood related, property owners are left with FEMA for their primary, if not only, assistance. However, federal disaster aid in the form of grants are often insufficient to restore a property to its pre-loss condition. FEMA can provide up to \$33,000 in assistance for home repairs, although the average payout for damage caused by Hurricane Florence will likely be around \$10,000. Previously, the average federal disaster assistance for Superstorm Sandy was roughly \$8,000, and approximately \$7,000 for Hurricane Katrina. Consequently, many residents

will be faced with the harsh reality of not being able to repair their homes.

THE NFIP PROBLEM

As the country experiences historic weather patterns, and areas that have generally been immune from flooding become vulnerable, it raises critical questions about the sustainability and effectiveness of this country's policy on flood insurance.

In 2004, the NFIP was solvent. However, since 2005, a chain of cataclysmic storms have forced the NFIP to pay out billions of dollars more in claims than the program received in premiums. In 2005, Hurricane Katrina hit the Gulf Coast and caused the costliest storm in U.S. history. Then,

in 2012, Superstorm Sandy resulted in approximately \$8.7 billion in NFIP payouts. In 2017, Hurricane Harvey resulted in a payout almost identical to Superstorm Sandy, and damages from Hurricanes Harvey and Irma caused the program to reach its \$30.5 billion statutory limit on borrowing. Even with Congress forgiving approximately \$16 billion of the NFIP's debt in October 2017, the program is still roughly \$20.5 billion in the red. With Hurricane Florence becoming the second 1,000year rain event in two years, insurance industry experts are wondering just how much more the NFIP can withstand.

The good news for now is that Hurricane Florence, despite similarities to Hurricane Harvey in that the storm hovered in one place and resulted in a multi-day rainfall event, is expected to cost much less. One reason that Hurricane Florence will not have the same impact on the NFIP as Hurricane Harvey is that Hurricane Harvey was relatively localized and occurred in Houston, one of the largest cities in America. As a result, Hurricane Harvey created more total exposure to properties at risk of flooding because of its densely populated urban and suburban environment. Consequently, Hurricane Florence covered a much wider area in both North and South Carolina without any major populated cities.

In addition, penetration of the NFIP coverage in the states affected by Hurricane Florence is relatively low. North Carolina represent just 2.5 percent and South Carolina just four percent of NFIP policies. Texas, on the other hand, represents just less than 15 percent of NFIP policies. By all accounts, it appears that the NFIP can withstand the blow dealt by Hurricane Florence.

Given its financial situation, the NFIP has taken steps to address its crippling debt. One way the NFIP has attempted to help itself and offset the risk of major events is through implementing a reinsurance program. In 2016, the NFIP used reinsurance as a way to manage losses incurred by catastrophic events.

The reinsurance program has certainly proved helpful to the NFIP, given the major recent weather events. In fact, the NFIP recovered their entire limit of \$1.04 billion from the losses sustained during Hurricane Harvey. As a result, in 2018, the NFIP again purchased reinsurance and paid \$235 million for \$1.46 billion in coverage for a single flood event.

Moreover, in August 2018, FEMA introduced its first catastrophe bond to transfer risk from the NFIP to the capital markets. It was the first catastrophe bond to solely provide reinsurance coverage for flood risks.

While the NFIP was taking steps to relieve its significant debt through reinsurance and bonds, legislation was passed in the U.S. House of Representatives in the hopes of reforming flood insurance. H.R. 2874, known as the "21st Century Flood Reform Act," sought to address the costs associated with flood-related damage. The bill proposed a limit on annual premium increases and offered financial assistance to low-income households.

Additionally, the bill attempted to increase competition by providing consumers a choice through the development of private markets. In

particular, the bill eliminated the non-compete clause for the Write Your Own (WYO) program, thus allowing agents and brokers to offer and sell private flood insurance. The bill also allows for the state and local governments and property owners to appeal the denial of a request to update a flood map if the map is scientifically or technically inaccurate. The bill has gone to the Senate for approval, but the Senate has yet to act on the measure.

Since Hurricane Katrina in 2005, we have seen a record-breaking number of billion-dollar natural disasters caused by flooding. Hurricane Florence is just the latest offender. Given what appears to be a new era of biblical rainfall and flooding, the cost of insuring and rebuilding properties will increase drastically and, in turn, strain an already burdened flood program. Insurance companies, government officials, and the public would certainly be well-served in addressing the issues facing the NFIP head-on, because the next major flood could wash away the program itself.

Christian P. LaBletta is partner at LaBletta & Walters LLC. He can be reached at clabletta@lablettawalters.com.

